	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/634,196	PEDERSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>RC</u>	<u>E</u>
(1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.	(3)	
(2) Mark Skoog.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 September 2007	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: All		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Smith et al (US 6,617,303)		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		`
1 Multha		
(Examiner's PE Signature) (Applicant'Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to delete the optional components from claims 1, 28, and 29 and require that the "carrier" component of the composition is water. Additionally, Applicant agreed to cancel claims 13, 14, 16, 18-23, 25-27, and 37 and make any other additional changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment.